#### Reasons for Environmental Reporting & Its Linkage on BSC in a Finnish Food Processing Company

Aapo Länsiluoto Seinäjoki University of applied sciences; Business school

Marko Järvenpää University of Jyväskylä; School of Business and Economics

### Introduction

#### Utilization of BSC

- Management by objectives, information system & visualization between different measures (Malmi 2001)
- Utilization in public companies & municipalities
- Sustainability issues are raised in discussion
  - "an operation that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (Brundtland 1987)
  - Publication of sustainable/environmental reports
  - Certifications, competitions & civic organizations

## Intro (2); Sustainability aspects

- Economic, environmental and social (cf. Dias-Sardinha & Reijnders 2005 and GRI 2002)
- Environmental aspect; living and non-living natural systems, including ecosystems, land, air and water

# Intro (3); Sustainability reasons

#### External

- environmental regulatory, government, competitors, stakeholders; employees, Customers (Callens & Wolters 1998, Davidson & Worrell 2001, Pan 2003 & Rintanen 2005)
- $\rightarrow$  improved image
- Internal
  - cost efficiency, productivity, quality, ontime delivery, customer satisfaction, internal procedures, employee morale (Azapagic 2004, Darnall 2006, Melnyk et al. 2003, Pan 2003 and Yakhou & Dorweiler 2004)

#### $\rightarrow$ improved financial performance

May 2007

EMAN2007 aapo.lansiluoto@seamk.fi & marko.jarvenpaa@econ.jyu.fi

#### Intro(4);Integration sustainability & BSC

#### Integration worthwhile to consider

- if companies are using BSC framework
- If strategy includes components of sustainability (cf. Kaplan & Norton 2005)

#### • Modes of integration (Figge et al. (2002)

- integrated in four standard perspectives
- an additional fifth perspective can be added to take environmental and social aspects into account.
- specific environmental scorecard

#### Purpose of the study

- What forces lead a company to set environmental objectives and to report them externally and internally?
- How and why some environmental objectives and measures are formulated and selected?
- How sustainability and BSC are integrated in practice?
- How organization analyzes the causality between the sustainability and financial indicators?

### Methodology: A Case-study

#### o Interviews

- Preliminary interview (2 managers) & 10 semistructured interviews
- Directors of unit, business areas, parent company, group
- Both researchers participated
- Interviews were recorded on tape and transcripted on paper
- Duration & location; 1-2hours in the Case company's facilities.
- Published reports

# Case company; Criteria for selection

- Sustainability issues have to be considered in a company
- BSC utilization
- o Large size of company →
  implementation is challenging
- Company's willingness to participate

### Case company's details

- Finnish food manufacturing company
- Group is increasingly internationalizing their operations
- Largest subsidiary company of a larger group
  - Turnover around EUR 500 million
  - Group's domestic operations
  - Customers; retailers, catering enterprises, industry and the export trade
  - Four major production plants in Finland
- Environmental management systems
  - ISO 14001 standard
  - 3rd environmental program
- Performance evaluation systems
  - BSC implemented in 2004

### Empirical results; Sustainability external forces

- External forces in the beginning
- Purpose to achieve environmental certificate
  - attracting customers (did not explicitly require) & brand
  - implementation of the environmental management system
  - continuous improvement
  - environmental performance measures
- Authorities' environmental requirements

# Sustainability internal forces

- The forces are changed on internal
- o Euro-driven company → investments relating to money progress
  - environmental investments save euros (cf. energy)
  - indicator's effect on profitability is one key factor for the most suitable environmental indicator
  - relation between decreased costs and improved environmental performance

## Sustainability internal forces.

limits of resource capacity (cf. water)

- o documentation → more systematic and straightforward practical operations
- o measurement culture → target
  setting → improve performance

# Forces of renewing PerfMangSyst

#### o Primarily internal

- general pressures to improve information systems and internal reporting practices
  - Fragmented systems
    - $\rightarrow$  centralization of IS
  - Historical data

## Forces of BSC implementation

#### • Primarily internal forces

- Requirement of non-financial measures
- Identification of critical success factors, their measures
- Communication vision, values & strategy from directors to employees
- Competition between business areas
- External force of selecting software vendor
  - Customer utilization and recommendations

# Integration sustainability and BSC

- Environmental targets were integrated in BSC, reasons;
  - decrease number of used IS (cf. fragmented systems)
  - measures similarly and at the same time available for all authorized users
- Externally environmental performance is reported by an annual report

# Summarization of BSC & sustainability forces



### Conclusions

 Environmental reporting had primarily external forces in the beginning

- Certification → image (e.g. Pan 2003)
- Environmental authorities (cf. Davidson & Worrell 2001)
- No strict financial or environmental reasons for environmental reporting (cf. Magness 2006)
- Participation in new mainstream thinking
- Later the reasons of environmental reporting changed on internal
  - Cultural change; "euro-driven policy", measurement culture

### Conclusions..

- BSC implementation had primarily internal reasons
- The reasons of BSC and sustainability had different sources in the beginning
- Both issues had internal forces in the end
- The reasons can change in a time period
- When the forces arise inside of organization, the issues are more deeply considered for instance in steering committees



aapo.lansiluoto@seamk.fi tel. +358 40 830 2423 Seinäjoki university of applied sciences: Business School

marko.jarvenpaa@econ.jyu.fi Tel. +358 14 260 2979 University of Jyväskylä: School of Business and Economics